IMPLEMENTING OF AN EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM ON PATIENTS' KNOWLEDGE AND SELF-EFFICACY REGARDING RECURRENT CEREBROVASCULAR STROKE
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ABSTRACT

Cerebrovascular stroke "CVS", also known as "brain attack": is an umbrella term of the common, crippling, and a deadly serious neurologic disease that causes a sudden loss of brain function. Recurrent CVS declared as an individual's history of a previous CVS, can be prevented through effective adherence with therapeutic regimen to enhance individual's self-efficacy. Aim: the aim was to evaluate effect of educational program on knowledge and self-efficacy among patients with recurrent cerebrovascular stroke. Methodology: Setting: The study was conducted at Neuropsychiatry Department at Suez Canal University Hospitals. Design: A quasi-experimental research design was used (one group pre post-test). Subject: A purposive sample of eighty-four adult patients with recurrent cerebrovascular stroke was chosen. Tools: Assessment questionnaire was used to assess the patient's demographic characteristics, medical history and interview questionnaire to assess the patients' level of knowledge regarding cerebrovascular stroke, stroke self-efficacy questionnaire to assess the strength of an individual's belief in their individual ability to respond difficult or new situations was used. Result: There was positive significant correlation between the studied patient's level of knowledge at the pre, post and follow-up phase of the study. Also, there was positive significant correlation between the studied patient's stroke self-efficacy score at the pre, post and follow-up phase of the study. Conclusion: Effective implementation of educational program on the studied patients' is necessary to increase the level of knowledge and self-efficacy among patients with recurrent cerebrovascular stroke. Recommendation: Establishing recurrent cerebrovascular stroke's counseling with specialized professional teams is necessary to provide knowledge regarding recurrent cerebrovascular stroke and how to improve patients' self-efficacy.
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INTRODUCTION

Cerebrovascular stroke "CVS", also known as "brain attack", is an umbrella term of the common, crippling, and a deadly serious neurological disease that causes a sudden loss of brain function followed by the neurological deficits. Recurrent cerebrovascular stroke is declared as an individual with a history of a previous cerebrovascular stroke, registered with a new stroke event (Lau, Pendlebury & Rothwel, 2018).

Epidemiological study around the world suggest that cerebrovascular stroke is the second driving reason for death among individuals over the age of 60, and the fifth driving reason for death in individuals at 15 to 59 years of age group. Regardless of 80% of recurrent cerebrovascular stroke every year around 700,000 individuals encounter another or repetitive stroke (Mahdy et al., 2016).

Benjamin et al., (2018), stated that, stroke happens almost 152,000 times each year and additionally it is the fourth driving reasons for death in the United Kingdom; that is one at regular intervals, there are around 1.2 million stroke survivors in the United Kingdom. From those, 3 will suffer repetitive cerebrovascular stroke or
transient ischemic attack. The most burden hazard probability of intermittent stroke is in the early month. Cerebrovascular stroke in Egypt achieved 14.37% of total death, positioning Egypt as the most elevated incidence of the handicaps due to cerebrovascular stroke recurrence; occurrence rate in Upper Egypt was 18%.

The prevalence rate of ischemic stroke was significantly higher than that of hemorrhagic stroke; illiterate participants had a significantly higher prevalence rate than those who were literate. There was no significant difference in the prevalence rate between rural and urban areas or between males and females. More than half of the participant in the study had one or more risk factors with hypertension being the most common followed by diabetes mellitus (Khedr et al., 2014).

As recurrent cerebrovascular attack is a complex disease, about 80% of cerebrovascular stroke can be prevented. It needs the efforts and practice of the multidisciplinary team. Among them are nurses who play a crucial role in all phases of stroke care to enhance patients’ outcomes, decrease time of hospital stay, and decrease hospital costs and possible complications. Nurses serve as an educator for the patient about the knowledge of disease towards both the patient and their family members. They support and encourage health behavior for the active participation in all aspects of self-care for better outcomes and health promotion. Patient education in nursing practice regarding adherence to the therapeutic regimen is essential for better outcomes (Lewis et al., 2016; WHO, 2019).

**Significance of the study**

At Suez Canal and Sinai area: The incidence and prevalence of recurrent cerebrovascular stroke in Suez Canal University hospitals have been recognized increasingly during the last decade mainly at the neuropsychiatric department. This was found not only among elderly people, or diabetic patients, but even among individuals with or without hypertension (Elbqry et al., 2017).

Consequently, at national level, in Egypt; there were high incidence and prevalence, the mean and median crude prevalence rates across the five studies, which were conducted in southern Egypt showed 721% and 6.55% respectively. While the mean and median crude incidence rates were 1.87% and 1.80% cerebrovascular stroke accounted for about 1 of every 19 deaths in the United States, individuals died of stroke every 3 minutes 42 seconds (Abd-Allah et al., 2018).

At international level; approximately 3% of males and 2% of females reported that they were disabled because of stroke. There were 5.5 million deaths attributed to cerebrovascular disease worldwide (2.7 million deaths from ischemic stroke and 2.8 million deaths from hemorrhagic stroke). According to 2016 data, Eastern Europe, East Asia, and parts of Southeast Asia, Central Asia, and sub-Saharan Africa had the highest rates of stroke mortality (Urden et al., 2017; Kim et al., 2018).

**METHODOLOGY**

The aim of the present study was to evaluate effect of educational program on knowledge and self-efficacy among patients with recurrent cerebrovascular stroke.

The following research hypotheses were formulated to fulfill the aim of this study:

H1: Significant correlation between the studied patients' level of knowledge at the study phases.

H2: Significant correlation in self-efficacy scores among the studied patients.

**Research Design**

A quasi experimental research design (one intervention group, assign nonrandom), was used in the current study, pre-, immediate post implementing and follow up was done at two months post educational program implementation.

**Setting**

This study was conducted in Suez Canal University hospitals at Neuropsychiatric department.

**Subjects**

A purposive sample of 84 cases (50 males and 34 females) participated in the current study at the time of hospitalization.

The sample calculated were based upon prevalence of adherence to therapeutic regimen which is 60±5% (Kamal et al., 2015; Elbqry et al., 2017), equation is:

\[
\text{Sample size } n = \frac{\text{DEFF} \times N \times (1-p)}{\text{d}^2 + \frac{Z_{1-\alpha/2}^2 \times (N-1) \times p \times (1-p)}}
\]

Results from OpenEpi, Version 2, open source calculator–SSPropor
The estimated sample size is made at assumption of 95% confidence level and 80% power of study.

Any hospitalized patient with recurrent cerebrovascular stroke in the study setting during the period of the study implementation and being eligible for the following inclusive criteria:

**Inclusive criteria**

Adult patients more than or equal to 20 years of age of both sexes, with recurrent cerebrovascular stroke and who did not receive any educational program previously or any other guidelines about adherence with therapeutic regimens of recurrent cerebrovascular stroke.

**Exclusive criteria**

Patient who are unconscious, disoriented or/and aphasic.

**Tools for data collection**

Tool I, Assessment questionnaire: it was developed by the researcher based on the related literature and filled from patients’ files, to assess the studied patients’ socio-demographic characteristics, medical history before and immediately post two months of program implementation phases (Lewis et al., 2016). The questionnaire consisted of three parts:

Part 1: was used to assess the studied patients’ socio-demographic characteristics, such as; age, gender, occupation, marital status, level of education, monthly income, body mass index, accompanying persons at home and place of residence.

Part 2: was used to assess the studied patients’ present medical history (at the time of admission, type & causes of recent cerebrovascular stroke, frequency of stroke, laboratory, non-laboratory diagnostic studies and medical management).

Part 3: was used to assess past medical history (at the time of previous stroke, type and causes of previous stroke and patients’ family history).

**Scoring system of body mass index**

The total score had been calculated as:

Very thin. If total BMI ≤ 20%
Normally. If total BMI ≥ 20%: 25.
Obese. If total BMI ≥26%: 30%.
Very obeys. If total BMI ≥ 31%.

Tool II, Patients’ structured interview questionnaire: This was developed by the researcher based on the related literature and filled from patients at the time of interview before program implementation, immediately post program implementation and post two months of program implementation (Martino, 2016; Hinkle et al., 2017). It included 25 questions as true or false and multiple-choice questions, to assess the patients’ level of knowledge regarding cerebrovascular stroke.

**Scoring system:** it was considered as the following (Cabral et al., 2015):

- ≥ 60% was considered a satisfactory level of knowledge, when the total grades were ≥ 15 grades.
- < 60% was considered as unsatisfactory level of knowledge, when the total grades were <15 grades.

Tool III, Stroke Self-Efficacy Questionnaire (SSEQ): it is one of the first measures of self-efficacy designed specifically for stroke patients at the time of interview pre intervention immediately and post two months of program implementation. Cronbach Alpha reliability coefficient for the 13-item SSEQ was 0.90, which suggests high internal consistency (Bland & Altman 1997).

**Scoring system**

The scoring system was adopted from Jones, Partridge & Reid, (2008), by the researcher: items were rated on a likert scale from 1 to 10, as from 0:3=weak self-efficacy (totally 13-50 grades), from 4:7=good self-efficacy (totally 51-100 grades) and finally 8:10=stronger self-efficacy (totally 101-130 grades).

**Testing validity**

This stage was developed by seven experts from different academic categories (professor, assistant professor and senior lecturer) of Medical Surgical Nursing as well as four Neuropsychiatric medicine from Suez Canal University and three from Ain Shams University.

**Content reliability**

Coefficient of reliability of the evaluating tools II (knowledge about stroke and its recurrence) was measured by Cronbach's α alpha. The reliability scores as above were 0.863. This indicate high internal consistency of the used tools. Coefficient of reliability
of the evaluating tools III for the 13-item questions was 0.90, which suggests high internal consistency.

**Pilot study**

It was conducted on 10% of the subjects to test whether tools of data collection are clear, understandable, feasible and valid. After piloting it, modification of the study tools was done including addition or omissions.

**Field work**

Data collection of this study was carried out in the period from October 2017 to March 2018, through the following phases:

**Assessment Phase (Pretest)**

In this stage, the researcher assessed the actual educational needs by using pre-constructed tools; the researcher interviewed each patient throughout using (Tool I, Tool II & Tool III), at morning, afternoon and night shift.

**Planning phase**

The researcher developed the educational program using the baseline information gathered in the assessment phase. This educational program study aimed to evaluate effect of educational program on knowledge and self-efficacy among patients with recurrent cerebrovascular stroke. The educational program included materials to improve patients’ knowledge regarding the definition of cerebrovascular stroke recurrence. This included clinical manifestations, predisposing factors, investigations and treatment, in addition to its role to measure and improve patient’s self-efficacy.

**Implementation phase**

The implementation of the educational program was carried out at neuropsychiatric department as the study setting. The educational program was administered in three sessions from December 2017 to May 2018; the duration of each session was for 10 to 35 minutes. The sample was divided into small groups; each session of the educational program implementation included at least two patients. At the beginning of the first session of the educational program, patients were given an idea regarding program objectives, contents, and procedures.

The educational program was presented in a clear and concise form, following the principles of adult learning, focusing on interactive learning and active participation. It was implemented using different teaching methods and media such as short lectures, group discussion, in addition to different audiovisual materials as pamphlets, pictures, posters and video to facilitate the teaching of each topic.

**Evaluation phase**

The program outcome was evaluated using Tool II and III, immediately after program implementation, before one day from discharge. The patients' theoretical knowledge regarding cerebrovascular stroke recurrent was evaluated by using Tool II. But the studied patients' level of self-efficacy was measured using Tool III. The second evaluation was conducted after two months from the educational program implementation. The researcher informed the studied patients that came for evaluation regarding the effectiveness of the program and interviewed them using the questionnaires at the time of clinical visit. Follow up was done. All these were done through previously registered mobile call under the researcher cost by using Tool II and Tool III.

**Ethical considerations**

Informed consent was obtained from participant after explaining the purposes of the study. No harmful methodology was used with participant; they had right to withdraw from the study at any time.

**Statistics Analysis**

Handling and analysis of data: The raw data were coded and entered into SPSS system files (SPSS package version 19). Analysis and interpretation of data were conducted. The following statistical measures were used:

Descriptive statistics was done including frequency, distribution, mean, and standard deviation. Univariate analyses, including Student t-test, ANOVA test, Mann Whitney test and Kruskal Wallis test were used to test the significance of results of quantitative variables. Mac Nemar test of significance, Spearman's rank correlation coefficient or Spearman's rho and A chi-squared test was also done. Significant of results was done at $P \leq 0.05$. 


RESULTS

Table 1: Demographic characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic characteristics</th>
<th>The Studied patients (n=84)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>no.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>50±9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not working</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced/widow</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational level</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiterate</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can read and write</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 revealed that more aged above 56 years (80.96), male (59.5%) and a mean age of 50 years (SD=9), ranging from 50 to 59 years. Most of the participants were not worker (52.9%), more married (82.1%) and lived in urban areas (100%). In addition, (54.8%) were illiterate.

Table 2: Satisfactory level of knowledge among the studied patients at the study phases (n=84)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scores of Knowledge</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
<th>Follow up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Definition &amp; types</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk factors</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical picture</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management (diagnosis * treatment)</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Clarified that 21.4% had a satisfactory level regarding definition and types of stroke at pre phase, while 95.2% at post phase, as well as 6% had satisfactory level regarding clinical pictures at pre phase, while 78.6% at follow up.

Table 3: Correlation between the studied patient's stroke self-efficacy score at the study phases. (n=84)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Self-Efficacy score</th>
<th>Pre</th>
<th>Post</th>
<th>Follow up</th>
<th>Test value</th>
<th>P. value</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. = 84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>26.43 ± 8.08</td>
<td>23.98 ± 7.54</td>
<td>23.12 ± 7.31</td>
<td>8.680</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>HS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 presented that there was positive significant correlation between the studied patient's stroke self-efficacy score at the pre, post and follow up phase of the study.
DISCUSSION

The findings of the present study revealed that more than half of the studied patients age was ≥55 years old. Supporting these findings, Jang & Shin, (2019), stated that as recurrent cerebrovascular stroke' incidence is increasing, it is important for these patients to adhere to their self-care performance. Contradictory to these findings, Dastjerdil et al., (2016), found that most of the patients were <63 years of age. At the researcher’s point of view; this is due to highly precipitating factors among this age group as physiological and psychological changes occur at this time.

The result of the present study revealed that the majority of the studied patients were male. This result agreed with Kim et al., (2013), who found the majority of the studied patients were male. At the researcher’s point of view this is due to the fact that males are at more risk and they are busier in daily stressors, lack of knowledge and health care services to follow up. In contrast to this Salama & Hammad, (2015), found more than three-quarter of the studied patients were female. At the researcher’s point of view; this may be related to the burdens, medical history or/and other risky condition among females in this study settings.

The result of the present study revealed that more than half of the studied patients were not working. This result agreed with (Morsy et al., 2013), who found that the majority of the studied patients were not working. At the researcher’s point of view; due to advance of age, and work culture among this this group of business population. In contradiction to these findings Cecily, (2016), found that more than the majority of studied patients were Coolie workers.

The result of the present study revealed that more than half of the studied patients were illiterate. This result agreed with Lee et al., (2014), who revealed that majority of the studied patients were unable to read and write. Contradictory with these findings another study (Mahmoud & Elaziz, 2016), found that more than three-quarter were able to read and write.

The result of the present study revealed that the studied patients had a satisfactory level of overall knowledge regarding recurrent cerebrovascular stroke (definition, causes and risk factors, types, clinical manifestation, diagnostic studies, treatment modalities, complication and causes of recurrent) at post and follow-up phase of program implementation. Agreed to these findings Lee et al., (2014), found that more than three-quarter of the studied patients had taken effective educational program regarding their knowledge about disease.

Also Denny et al., (2017), found that the majority had significant improvement of knowledge regarding their disease. At the researcher point of view, measures should be taken to motivate the patient to avoid recurrence of cerebrovascular stroke. This is possible through effective educational skills, adequate suitable supplies, available lecture rooms and readiness of all associated factors, as well as support. Martinez et al., (2016), found that increased perception of barriers relating to general medical knowledge, medication adherence, and healthcare access, have decreased stroke knowledge (including knowledge of acute stroke therapy), and they have higher reliance on “powerful others” for control of their health.

The result of the present study revealed that there was no significant relation between the studied patients' level of knowledge score and demographic variables (age, gender, employment, marital status and educational level) at pre, post and follow-up phase. Contradicted with these finding Cecily, (2016), found that variables like age, sex, marital status, educational status, occupation, monthly family income, duration of illness and duration of treatment had significant association with the level of knowledge regarding stroke.

The result of the present study revealed that there was a significant correlation between the studied patients' level of knowledge score at pre post phase and post follow-up phase. This was in line with another study (Denny et al., 2017), who found a significant correlation between the studied patients' level of knowledge at the phases of the study.

The result of the present study revealed that there is no significant correlation between pre, post and follow-up phase of the study regarding stroke self-efficacy scale of the studied patients. These findings were not supported by the findings of Lo, (2016), who found that a statistically significant correlation between stroke self-efficacy scale score at pre and post phase. Also, Appalasamy et al., (2018), found that a significant correlation between pre and follow-up phase of the study regarding stroke self-efficacy scale of the studied patients.

The result of the present study revealed that there is significant correlation between total adherence scores
and total self-efficacy score at post phase. Cheiloudaki & Alexopoulos, (2019) supported these findings showed significant correlation between adherence with therapies and their total self-efficacy score at post phase. Wolf et al., (2017), also found that there is a significant correlation between their adherence with therapies and stroke self-efficacy score. At the researcher point of view this is due to direct impact between these two variables among the studied patients.

The result of the present study revealed that there is significant relation with demographic characteristics as age, gender at pre phase and stroke self-efficacy score and marital status at post phase. Agreed with these findings Denny et al., (2017), detected that there was a statistically significant relation between social data as age, gender at pre phase and stroke self-efficacy score, also marital status at post phase. Pompey et al., (2017), found a positive correlation between demographic characteristic as age at pre phase of the study. This was also supported by Boden-Albala et al., (2019), who agree with these findings and detect a significant relation among the studied patients' demographic characteristics as age, gender at pre phase and stroke self-efficacy score, also marital status at post and follow-up phase.

CONCLUSION

In the light of the study findings, all of the studied patients had an improvement with satisfactory level of knowledge at the study phases. There was positive significant correlation between the studied patient’s stroke self-efficacy score at the study phases.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based upon findings of the current study, the followings are recommended:

- Establishing recurrent cerebrovascular stroke's counseling, specialized professional teams to provide knowledge and method of adherence with therapies to prevent recurrent cerebrovascular stroke.
- Emphasis must be given to centralized specialized units in the hospital concerns with education of the patients to modify their lifestyle "weight, diet, exercise, smoking, medication abuse, family relations, etc.” This is effective to eradicate false habits and to ensure the importance of adherence.
- Submission of educational videos, handouts, posters, booklet, and brochure concerned with recurrent cerebrovascular stroke and its associated therapies can also be done.
- Periodical updating of the knowledge, the importance of adherence, methods to get rid of the factors affecting adherence and survey of the population, especially those who had a previous history of stroke
- Further studies and replication of this study on a larger probability sample selected from different geographical areas in Egypt is recommended to obtain more generalizable data.
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