ABSTRACT

This study aims to measure the effect of destination image on intention to revisit and positive word-of-mouth of hotel’s international tourists at BR-VT destination. The research surveyed 356 international tourists visiting BR-VT. Smart PLS3 software was used to analyze data. The result support all study hypotheses. In addition, WOM significantly mediate the relationship between destination image factors and re-visit intentions.
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INTRODUCTION

Tourism is considered as one of the world’s largest service industries and will continue to be as one of the main sources of foreign revenue for countries involved in tourism (Blanke & Chiesa, 2013). As of 2013, it is recorded that 9% of the world GDP comes from tourism, whereby 1 out of 11 jobs is from the tourism industry (World Tourism Organization, 2014). It was reported that, total 1.87 billion tourists have travelled to various locations in 2013 which has generated a total of USD 6 trillion of revenue (Blanke & Chiesa, 2013). The number of international tourists’ arrival in Asia and Pacific was 248.1 million which accumulates total 22.8% of the total international tourists in the world. Asia was ranked second after Europe as the most visited destination in the World in 2013 (World Tourism Organization, 2014). It has further forecasted that this trend will continue to grow in the coming years (Andrades & Dimanche, 2017; Högström, Rosner & Gustafsson, 2010).

In the strong development trend of tourism in the world, Vietnam is also promoting all potentials to develop its tourism. With preferences on natural, cultural and social conditions, Vietnam has many prospects to attract international tourists. Ba Ria-Vung Tau in the Southeast region, in the southern key economic region of Vietnam is located on a trans-Asia road, with a system of seaports, airports and convenient river networks. Marine and coastal ecosystems are quite unique and diverse: they are suitable for many types of ocean exploration tourism, convalescence and medical tourism for tourists, cultural and historical tourism - Spirituality, MICE tourism, ecotourism, etc., and they are also convenient in forming complex international resorts (Nhu, Khang & Thảo, 2014). BR-VT’s socio-economic strategy has determined that tourism is a key economic sector. In recent years, the number of visitors to BR-VT has been increasing; however, international visitors are only a very small number compared with the number of domestic tourists. So the question for the provincial tourism managers is how to increase international visitors to BR-VT in the future? (Nhu, Khang & Thảo, 2014).

Some local and foreign studies showed that the intentional return and positive word-of-mouth transmission have a huge impact on attracting tourists (Abd-Elaziz et al., 2015; Khalifa, 2015, 2018; Marinao, Vilches-Montero & Chasco, 2015; Nhu, Khang & Thảo, 2014; Qoura & Khalifa, 2016; Som et al., 2012; Sudigdo, Khalifa & Abuellhassan, 2019; Trụ & Câm, 2012). Other studies also showed that one of the most significant and powerful factors that influence visitors' intentional return and positive word-of-mouth is the destination image factor (Abd-Elaziz et al., 2015; Qu, Kim & Im, 2011; Sudigdo, Khalifa & Abuellhassan, 2019; Tavitiyaman & Qu, 2013). From the theoretical and practical issues mentioned, it’s necessary to study impact of destination image factors (Sudigdo, Khalifa &
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1. Theoretical Basis

(A) Destination Image

Destination image is a theory that many researchers are interested in and give different definitions (Sudigdo, Khalifa & Abuelhassan, 2019). However, these definitions do not rely on a specific marker; a definition can be based on manners or based on overall components or both (Khuong & Phuong, 2017). Upadhyaya (2012) defined "Destination image is the perception of visitors about a potential place". According to Gallarza, Saura & Garcia (2002) "Destination images are the impressions that a person has in her mind about a place where she is not there". Andrades & Dimanche (2017) considered that, "Destination image includes all the knowledge of objective, impression, prejudice, imagination, emotional thinking of a person or group of people about a special place". Echtner & Ritchie (1993) defined "Destination image is an awareness of a person and overall impression of that person of a destination in his mind." This definition indicates functional characteristics related to tangible aspects and psychological characteristics related to intangible aspects.

The components of the destination image are shown in the destination image model of Echtner and Ritchie, (1993). Under this model, all qualities and overall images can be based on the functional characteristics or psychological characteristics about the product (Alkhateri et al., 2018; Mohamed et al., 2018; Nusari et al., 2018; Shamsi et al., 2018; Sudigdo et al., 2019). Functional characteristics (including prices, transport infrastructure, accommodation) related to the tangible aspect of the destination, while psychological characteristics (including staff friendliness, safety, popularity, etc.) related to the intangible aspect (Khalifa & Abou-Shouk, 2014; Qoura & Khalifa, 2016). Moreover, the destination image can also be arranged into ranges from unique to popular features (Abou-Shouk & Khalifa, 2017).

(B) Intentional Return and Positive Word-Of-Mouth Transmission

Consumers' preferences and needs are varied and constantly changed (Mohamed et al., 2018; Mohamed et al., 2019). Therefore, understanding buying behavior and the intentional return destination of visitors is very important for travel managers (Abou-Shouk & Khalifa, 2017; Agwa, Aziz, & Khalifa, 2018a, 2018b; Badran & Khalifa, 2016 Khalifa, 2015). To maintain competitiveness, travel companies, travel destinations need to design memorable travel programs to attract tourists to visit and visit again (Hussein, Abou-Shouk & Khalifa, 2013; Khalifa, 2018; Khalifa & Abou-Shouk, 2014; Khalifa & Hewedi, 2016; Mohamud et al., 2017). Chen & Tsai (2007) pointed out that tourist behavior included the choice of destination, assessments and future behavioral intentions. The assessments are about travel experiences or perceived values and overall customer satisfaction, while the intention of future behavior indicates that visitors' judgments may be a revisit the destination and ready to recommend it to others (Morsy, Ahmed & Ali, 2016; Khalifa, 2015; Khalifa &...
Intention is defined as "Predetermined ability to promise an action" (Oliver, 1993, 1999) "buyer prediction of a brand they will buy" (Becker, 1960). Ajzen & Fishbein (1980) suggested that behavioral intent is the best predictor of human behavior, an important indicator of visitor behavior. The study of Gitelson & Crompton, (1984) is the first study to discover the importance of repeating visitor destinations. They find that many destinations trust the importance of re-visiting visitors' destinations.

According to Abd-Elaziz et al., (2015), "Word-of-mouth is the transmission of opinions, thoughts, ideals between two or many individuals without any individual as a marketing source." Or "Word-of-mouth is the act of telling to at least one friend or acquaintance about their (dis)satisfaction" (Khuong & Phuong, 2017). van Doorn et al., (2010) said that word-of-mouth has many benefits. Firstly, word-of-mouth is a reliable communication channel due to the exchange between friends and family; secondly, word-of-mouth is a two-way communication; thirdly, word-of-mouth provides potential customers with descriptions of experiences.

It was realized that there was a positive influence of oral information on destination choice (Molina et al., 2010; Alkutbi et al., 2019; Jalilvand & Heidari, 2017). Introducing destination by word-of-mouth to others is one of the most frequent types of information search for those most interested in tourism (Razzaq, Hall & Prayag, 2016). Word-of-mouth referral is crucial in tourism marketing because they are considered the most reliable, so it is one of the sources of information searched of potential tourists (Abd-Elaziz et al., 2015). Similarly, Jalilvand and Heidari, (2017) also mentioned that return tourists who increase word-of-mouth and referrals will effect on potential tourists.

**RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

**(A) Overview of the Proposed Research Model**

The relationships between constructs hypothesized in the conceptual framework have been adapted from the relevant literature in the subject matter. The figure 2 shows the proposed model that contains destination image and word of mouth to predict re-visit intention. The proposed model examines the relationship between the constructs among hotel customers in Ba Ria-Vung Tau in the Vietnam. The proposed conceptual framework has 9 hypotheses to test.

**Figure 2: The Research Model**

From the research model, hypotheses are set as follows:

- **H1a**: SV is positively related to WoM
- **H2a**: TIA is positively related to WoM
- **H3a**: LA is positively related to WoM
- **H4a**: NEP is positively related to WoM
- **H5**: WoM is positively related to RI
- **H1b**: WoM mediates the relationship between SV and RI
- **H2b**: WoM mediates the relationship between TIA and RI
- **H3b**: WoM mediates the relationship between LA and RI
- **H4b**: WoM mediates the relationship between NEP and RI

**(B) Development of Instrument and Data Collection**

The development of instrument for this study included a 26-item questionnaire, and based on the destination image and loyalty literature, a multi-item Likert scale was applied (Lee, Yoon & Lee, 2009). Constructs were measured using a Likert scale which recommended in the previous studies (Mohamed et al., 2018, 2019), with 5 being ‘Strongly Agree’ and 1 being ‘Strongly Disagree’. The data was collected by delivering a self-administered questionnaire “in-person” from July 2018 till December 2018 to Hotel Customers within BR-VT destination. The number of the distributed questionnaires was 500, and the number of the returned sets is 400 of which 356 responses were considered suitable for the analysis. According to Tabachnick & Fidell (2012), the sample size was seen as sufficient. Compared to the relevant literature the 71.2% response rate of this study is considered very good (Baruch & Holtom, 2008).

**RESULTS**

**(A) Respondent Profile**

Out of 356 survey forms, sample distribution is shown as follows:
Regarding the purpose of international visitors to BR-VT: Hotel's International tourists to BR-VT mainly for purely tourism purposes, accounted for 79.2%, other purposes such as tourism combined work, visiting relatives, and other purposes accounted for a very small percentage, the all was 20.8%. About the number of times visitors to BR-VT: hotel's tourists surveyed mainly to BR-VT for the first time with the rate of 84.3%, this shows very few international tourists to BR-VT for the second times, third times or more.

Regarding the number of days staying: visitors often stay from 1 to 4 days, while from 1 to 2 days accounted for 45.5% and from 3 to 4 days accounted for 47.2%, over 5 days only accounts for 7.3%. This result showed that the time of international visitors staying at BR-VT is very short. About sex: the sex visitors are relatively equal between men and women, with the corresponding rates of 52.2% for men and 48.8% for women. About ages: The age of international tourists to BR-VT is mainly under 35 years old. This result is nearly similar with the age statistics of international visitors to Vietnam. Visitors at these ages mainly travel with the purpose of discovery, with rather cheap trips, limited in spending and shopping.

About the continent: The hotel's tourists come from 4 continents, the highest proportion is European tourists, accounted for 43.8% (most of the visitors are English, French, German). Then Asians are with 27.5% (most tourists come from Israel, China, and Korea). Visitors from the Americas accounted for 17.4% (American tourists were the majority). Although Asia is the main international tourist market of Vietnam, from the result of this study, the number of Asian visitors is not high enough.

(B) Measurement Model Assessment

Cronbach’s alpha coefficients and composite reliability were used to measure Construct reliability. The results indicate that all individual Cronbach’s alpha and CR were higher than the suggested value of 0.7 (Gefen, Straub & Boudreau, 2000; Kannana & Tan, 2005; Kline, 2010; Nunnally & Bernstein, 1994). Factor loading was used to test indicator reliability. The loading for all measurements exceeded the recommended value of 0.5 (Hair et al., 2017). Average variance extracted (AVE) was used to test convergent validity and it indicated that all AVE values were exceeded the suggested value of 0.5 (Hair et al., 2010) (refer to table 1).

### Table 1: Construct Reliability, Convergent Validity and Indicator Validity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constructs</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Loading (&gt; 0.5)</th>
<th>CR (&gt; 0.7)</th>
<th>AVE (&gt; 0.5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leisure activities (LA)</td>
<td>LA1</td>
<td>0.794</td>
<td>0.701</td>
<td>0.809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LA2</td>
<td>0.613</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LA3</td>
<td>0.774</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LA4</td>
<td>0.682</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural environment and people (NEP)</td>
<td>NEP1</td>
<td>0.627</td>
<td>0.729</td>
<td>0.815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NEP2</td>
<td>0.627</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NEP3</td>
<td>0.605</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NEP4</td>
<td>0.780</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NEP5</td>
<td>0.673</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NEP6</td>
<td>0.586</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism information and activities (TIA)</td>
<td>TIA1</td>
<td>0.771</td>
<td>0.710</td>
<td>0.806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TIA2</td>
<td>0.596</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TIA3</td>
<td>0.653</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TIA4</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TIA5</td>
<td>0.679</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiritual value (SV)</td>
<td>SV1</td>
<td>0.783</td>
<td>0.731</td>
<td>0.830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SV2</td>
<td>0.799</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SV3</td>
<td>0.644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SV4</td>
<td>0.736</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word of Mouth (WοM)</td>
<td>WoM1</td>
<td>0.755</td>
<td>0.729</td>
<td>0.846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WoM2</td>
<td>0.814</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WoM3</td>
<td>0.842</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-visit Intentions (RI)</td>
<td>RI1</td>
<td>0.817</td>
<td>0.734</td>
<td>0.848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RI2</td>
<td>0.834</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RI3</td>
<td>0.769</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cross loading, Fornell Larcker, and heterotrait monotrait ratio (HTMT) were used to measure discriminant validity (Hair et al., 2017). Cross loading criterion fulfills the requirements because the indicators outer loadings on a construct were higher than all its cross-loadings with other constructs (bold values) (refer to table 2).

### Table 2: Cross Loading

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constructs</th>
<th>LA</th>
<th>NEP</th>
<th>RI</th>
<th>SV</th>
<th>TIA</th>
<th>WoM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leisure activities (LA)</td>
<td>LA1</td>
<td>-0.016</td>
<td>0.627</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LA2</td>
<td>-0.019</td>
<td>0.627</td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>0.293</td>
<td>0.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LA3</td>
<td>-0.014</td>
<td>0.605</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>0.294</td>
<td>0.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LA4</td>
<td>-0.039</td>
<td>0.673</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>0.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural environment and people (NEP)</td>
<td>NEP1</td>
<td>-0.016</td>
<td>0.627</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NEP2</td>
<td>-0.019</td>
<td>0.627</td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>0.293</td>
<td>0.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NEP3</td>
<td>-0.041</td>
<td>0.605</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>0.294</td>
<td>0.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NEP4</td>
<td>-0.039</td>
<td>0.780</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>0.308</td>
<td>0.205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NEP5</td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>0.673</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>0.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NEP6</td>
<td>-0.023</td>
<td>0.586</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>0.290</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Re-visit Intentions (RI)

RI1 0.307 0.194 0.817 0.427 0.374 0.595
RI2 0.351 0.218 0.834 0.441 0.413 0.586
RI3 0.309 0.171 0.769 0.362 0.401 0.461

Spiritual value (SV)

SV1 0.106 0.310 0.490 0.783 0.326 0.367
SV2 0.052 0.240 0.345 0.799 0.154 0.326
SV3 0.012 0.231 0.340 0.644 0.170 0.230
SV4 0.023 0.384 0.323 0.736 0.165 0.282

Tourism information and activities (TIA)

TIA1 0.064 0.214 0.364 0.227 0.771 0.339
TIA2 0.094 0.038 0.256 0.130 0.596 0.144
TIA3 0.137 0.179 0.330 0.172 0.653 0.234
TIA4 0.078 0.070 0.373 0.216 0.667 0.333
TIA5 -0.032 0.091 0.295 0.178 0.679 0.246

Word of Mouth (WoM)

WoM1 0.082 0.190 0.495 0.226 0.289 0.755
WoM2 0.121 0.173 0.577 0.323 0.307 0.814
WoM3 0.156 0.326 0.576 0.425 0.379 0.842

The results in table 3 and table 4 indicated that Fornell Larcker criterion (Fornell & Larcker, 1981; Chin, 1998a, 1998b), and heterotrait-monotrait ratio (HTMT) are fulfilled. Thus, suggesting a good discriminant validity (Hair et al., 2017).

Table 3: Fornell Larcker Criterion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leisure activities</th>
<th>LA</th>
<th>NEP</th>
<th>RI</th>
<th>SV</th>
<th>TIA</th>
<th>WoM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LA</td>
<td>0.719</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural environment and people</td>
<td>NEP 0.068</td>
<td>0.653</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-visit Intentions</td>
<td>RI 0.399</td>
<td>0.242</td>
<td>0.807</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiritual value</td>
<td>SV 0.072</td>
<td>0.391</td>
<td>0.511</td>
<td>0.743</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism information and activities</td>
<td>TIA 0.096</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.489</td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td>0.678</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word of Mouth</td>
<td>WoM 0.152</td>
<td>0.292</td>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>0.413</td>
<td>0.407</td>
<td>0.805</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: HTMT Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leisure activities</th>
<th>LA</th>
<th>NEP</th>
<th>RI</th>
<th>SV</th>
<th>TIA</th>
<th>WoM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural environment and people</td>
<td>NEP 0.144</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-visit Intentions</td>
<td>RI 0.553</td>
<td>0.327</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiritual value</td>
<td>SV 0.132</td>
<td>0.549</td>
<td>0.683</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism information and activities</td>
<td>TIA 0.195</td>
<td>0.281</td>
<td>0.602</td>
<td>0.364</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word of Mouth</td>
<td>WoM 0.194</td>
<td>0.385</td>
<td>0.723</td>
<td>0.542</td>
<td>0.526</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(C) Structural Model Assessment

Beta (β), r², and t-values via a bootstrapping with a resample of 5000 were used to assess the structural model (Hair et al., 2017).

(D) Hypotheses Tests

The results from figure 3 and table 5 showed that, 5 out of 5 direct relationship hypotheses are supported. SV, TIA, LA, and NEP significantly predict WoM. Hence H1a, H2a, H3a, and H4a are accepted with (β = 0.271, t = 5.373, p<0.01), (β = 0.296, t = 7.242, p<0.01), (β = 0.103, t = 2.029, p<0.05), and (β = 0.129, t = 3.166, p<0.001), respectively. In addition, WoM is significantly predict RI. Hence H5 is accepted with (β = 0.685, t = 13.420, p<0.01). SV, TIA, LA, NEP, and WoM are explaining 46.9% of the variance in RI. The R² values achieved an acceptable level of explanatory power as recommended by Cohen (1988) and Chin (1998a, 1998b) indicating a substantial model. The f² results showed that four relationship with small effect and one relationship with large effect. In addition, all Q² Values are greater than Zero (Hair et al., 2017).
The results in table 6 showed the mediation test. WoM significantly mediate the relationship between SV, TIS, and NEP from one side and RI on the other side. Hence, H1b, H2b, and H4b are accepted with (\(\beta = 0.185, t = 4.783, p < 0.01\)), (\(\beta = 0.203, t = 5.480, p < 0.01\)), and (\(\beta = 0.089, t = 3.086, p < 0.01\)), respectively. On the other side, H3b is not accepted.

**DISCUSSION**

According to the proposed model, this study improves the understanding of the role played by destination image factors (DIF) in the international tourists’ revisit intentions (RI) at BR-VT in Vietnam, and highlights relevant implications and suggestions for management and policy makers. The discussions are further detailed in the following.

The study found that DIF positively affect RI among tourists within the BR-VT in Vietnam, this is supported by previous studies (Abd-Elaziz *et al.*, 2015; Jalilvand & Heidari, 2017; Khuong & Phuong, 2017). It is explained by the fact that the more the destination factors of the BR-VT is positive, the more WoM is positive, and more likely to achieve optimal quality.

Additionally, All DIF dimentions were found to positively affect WoM among respondents within the BR-VT in Vietnam, this is supported by previous studies (Abd-Elaziz *et al.*, 2015; Jalilvand & Heidari, 2017; Kim, Han & Lee, 2001; van Doorn *et al.*, 2010). It is explained by the fact that the more the destination factors of the BR-VT is positive, the more WoM is positive, and more likely to achieve optimal quality.

In order to face the fierce competition within the tourism industry, destination managers need to understand the destination image management because it was recognized as the key for destination competitiveness (Abou-Shouk & Khalifa, 2017; Khalifa & Abou-Shouk, 2014; Khalifa & Fawzy, 2017; Khalifa & Mewad, 2017; Mohamud *et al.*, 2017; Qoura & Khalifa, 2016). The more WoM the tourists becomes, and more likely to re-visit BR-VT.

The connotations among DIF and WOM and EIB have been examined extensively. This study’s outcomes offer extra support for these causal relationships, primarily in BR-VT destination, and suggest that DIF is supplementary important issue that indirectly affects this significant destination competitiveness. Similary, the results also revealed that DIF has an indirect effect on RI via WoM, among tourists within BR-VT in the Vietnam, which confirms the mediation role that WoM has in this context. Moreover, the variance explained by the proposed model in the current study for RI among tourists within the BR-VT in the Vietnam is 46.9%. Thus, BR-VT managers who want to foster better RI among tourists within the BR-VT in the Vietnam, should take actions to confirm a more DIF (Tosun, Dedeoğlu & Fyall, 2015). The fact that DIF indirectly affects tourist’ re-visit makes the case that BR-VT should define DIF as a vital destination components in the development strategies of BR-VT.

**CONCLUSION**

The research model examines the direct impact of the destination image factors on positive word-of-mouth and intentional re-visit intention without considering factors of satisfaction. Research results showed that factors including spiritual values, tourism information and activities, leisure activities have a positive impact on the intentional revisit intentions of hotels’ international visitors. The factors of natural environment and people, spiritual values, tourism information and activities have a positive impact on the positive word-of-mouth of international visitors.
From the research results, BR-VT needs to focus its tourism management such as identifying markets in Europe, Asia and North America including the countries (England, France, Germany and Israel, China, South Korea, the United States, Canada) where are the target tourist markets so that managers have appropriate tourism development policies. Developing tourism products, and promotional activities need focusing on the aims of young tourists (under 35 years old), and orientation for these tourists in order to remind them to return BR-VT within next 10 years. Besides, the provincial tourism management agencies should focus on ensuring the safety for international visitors when they travel in BR-VT, tourism development planning must ensure the natural environment, keeping preserving BR-VT people's identity such as promoting the peacefulness and hospitality. Training the role of people in community tourism development in the locality is more often. In addition, BR-VT also needs to promote the development of night leisure to serve international tourists.
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